**Peer grading:** At the end of the exercise, your teammates will award the last 15 points of the project. Please list all the members in your team in the left column, to include yourself in the first row. Then provide a peer grade for everyone (to include yourself). Finally rank the members of your team, where 1 is the “best” person on the team, and n is the least best person on the team.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Team member** | **Peer Grade (0-15)** | **Overall Rank in Team**  **(1 – n)** | **Comments** |
| 1 | Elizabeth Lawrence | 15 | 1 | Consistently strong performance, willingness to work, and excellent overall work product. |
| 2 | Christian Aall | 14 | 2 | Excellent ability to contribute in a group setting. Delivered consistently and in a timely manner. High quality work product. |
| 3 | Steve Mazza | 14 | 3 | In fairness, I believe that Elizabeth out-performed me. Christian’s work and mine were on par. |
| 4 | Michael Oexmann | 12 | 4 | High quality output but required more direction (i.e., showed less initiative). Nonetheless, I have worked with him before and would do so again. |

**Points:**

15 - Was an excellent team member with substantial contributions toward all the deliverables, the final report and presentation: would definitely work with the person again.

10 Was a good team member with contributions toward all the deliverables, the final report and presentation: would probably work with the person again.

5 - Was an adequate team member.  Many contributions were made toward final report and presentation: not sure if I would work with this person again.

0-1, Was not a good team member but some contributions were included in the final report: would not work with this person again.